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Abstract. Conditions on $\beta$ are determined so that $1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z)$ subordinated to $\sqrt{1+z}$ implies $p$ is subordinated to $\sqrt{1+z}$. Analogous results are also obtained involving the expressions $1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) / p(z)$ and $1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) / p^{2}(z)$. These results are applied to obtain sufficient conditions for normalized analytic functions $f$ to satisfy the condition $\left|\left(z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right)^{2}-1\right|<1$.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of analytic functions in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ normalized by the conditions $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(0)=1$. Let $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$ be the class of functions defined by

$$
\mathcal{S L}:=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}:\left|\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{2}-1\right|<1\right\} \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

Thus a function $f \in \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$ if $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)$ lies in the region bounded by the right-half of the lemniscate of Bernoulli given by $\left|w^{2}-1\right|<1$. Since this region is contained in the right-half plane, functions in $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$ are starlike functions, and in particular univalent. A starlike function is characterized by the condition $\operatorname{Re} z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)>0$ in $\mathbb{D}$. For two functions $f$ and $g$ analytic in $\mathbb{D}$, the function $f$ is said to be subordinate to $g$, written $f(z) \prec g(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})$, if there exists a function $w$ analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ such that $f(z)=g(w(z))$. In particular, if the function $g$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$, then $f(z) \prec g(z)$ is equivalent to $f(0)=g(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{D}) \subset g(\mathbb{D})$. In terms of subordination, the class $\mathcal{S L}$ consists of normalized analytic functions $f$ satisfying $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$. This class $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$ was introduced by Soko 1 and Stankiewicz

[^0][23]. Paprocki and Soko l[14] discussed a more general class $\mathcal{S}^{*}(a, b)$ consisting of normalized analytic functions $f$ satisfying $\left|\left[z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right]^{a}-b\right|<b, b \geq \frac{1}{2}, a \geq 1$. Sok6 1 and Stankiewicz [23] determined the radius of convexity for functions in the class $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$. They also obtained structural formula, as well as growth and distortion theorems for these functions. Estimates for the first few coefficients of functions in $\mathcal{S L}$ were obtained in [24]. Recently, Sokó 1 [25] determined various radii for functions belonging to the class $\mathcal{S}$; these include the radii of convexity, starlikeness and strong starlikeness of order $\alpha$. Recently the $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$-radii for certain well-known classes of functions including the Janowski starlike functions were obtained in [1]. General radii problems were also recently considered in [2] wherein certain radii results for the class $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$ were obtained as special cases.

The class of Janowski starlike functions [7], denoted by $S^{*}[A, B]$, consists of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the subordination

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}, \quad(-1 \leq B<A \leq 1)
$$

Silverman [20], Obradovic and Tuneski [11] and several others (see [9, 10, 12, 16, 18]) have studied properties of functions defined in terms of the quotient $\left(1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right.$ $\left./ f^{\prime}(z)\right) /\left(z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right)$. In fact, Silverman [20] derived the order of starlikeness for functions in the class $G_{b}$ defined by

$$
G_{b}:=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}:\left|\frac{1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)}{z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)}-1\right|<b, 0<b \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{D}\right\}
$$

Obradovic and Tuneski [11] have improved the result of Silverman [20] by showing $G_{b} \subset S^{*}[0,-b] \subset S^{*}(2 /(1+\sqrt{1+8 b}))$. Later Tuneski [26] obtained conditions for the inclusion $G_{b} \subset S^{*}[A, B]$ to hold. Letting $z f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)=: p(z)$, then $G_{b} \subset S^{*}[A, B]$ becomes a special case of the differential chain

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\beta \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)^{2}} \prec \frac{1+D z}{1+E z} \Rightarrow p(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $0 \leq \alpha<1$, Frasin and Darus [5] showed that

$$
\frac{(z f(z))^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{(1-\alpha) z}{2-\alpha} \Rightarrow\left|\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{f^{2}(z)}-1\right|<1-\alpha
$$

Again by writing $\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{(f(z))^{2}}$ as $p(z)$, the above implication is a particular case of

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\beta \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)} \prec \frac{1+D z}{1+E z} \Rightarrow p(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Li and Owa [13] showed that $f(z) \in S^{*}$ if $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(\alpha \frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}+1\right)\right\}>-\frac{\alpha}{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

for some $\alpha(\alpha \geq 0)$. Related results may also be found in the works of [15, 17, 21, 22].
The implications (1.1) and (1.2) have been considered in [3]. All the results discussed above led us to consider differential implications with the superordinate function $(1+A z) /(1+B z)$ replaced by the superordinate function $\sqrt{1+z}$ that maps $\mathbb{D}$ onto the right-half of the lemniscate of Bernoulli. Additionally, applications of our results will yield sufficient conditions for functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ to belong to the class $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$.

The following results will be required.
Lemma 1.1. [8, Corollary 3.4h.1, p. 135]. Let $q$ be univalent in $\mathbb{D}$, and let $\varphi$ be analytic in a domain containing $q(\mathbb{D})$. Let $z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z))$ be starlike. If $p$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}, p(0)=q(0)$ and satisfies

$$
z p^{\prime}(z) \varphi(p(z)) \prec z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z))
$$

then $p(z) \prec q(z)$, and $q$ is the best dominant.
A more general version of the above lemma is the following:
Lemma 1.2. [8, Theorem 3.4h, p. 132]. Let $q$ be univalent in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ and $\vartheta$ and $\varphi$ be analytic in a domain $D$ containing $q(\mathbb{D})$ with $\varphi(w) \neq 0$ when $w \in q(\mathbb{D})$. Set $Q(z)=z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z)), h(z)=\vartheta(q(z))+Q(z)$. Suppose that
(1) either $h$ is convex, or $Q$ is starlike univalent in $\mathbb{D}$, and
(2) $\operatorname{Re} \frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}>0$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

If $p$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}, p(0)=q(0)$ and satisfies

$$
\vartheta(p(z))+z p^{\prime}(z) \varphi(p(z)) \prec \vartheta(q(z))+z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z)),
$$

then $p(z) \prec q(z)$, and $q$ is the best dominant.

## 2. Main Results

We first determine a lower bound for $\beta$ so that $1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$ implies $p(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $p$ be an analytic function on $\mathbb{D}$ and $p(0)=1$. Let $\beta_{0}=2 \sqrt{2}$ $(\sqrt{2}-1) \approx 1.17$. If the function $p$ satisfies the subordination

$$
1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z} \quad\left(\beta \geq \beta_{0}\right)
$$

then $p$ also satisfies the subordination

$$
p(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z} .
$$

The lower bound $\beta_{0}$ is best possible.

Proof. Define the function $q: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $q(z)=\sqrt{1+z}$ with $q(0)=1$. Since $q(\mathbb{D})=\left\{w:\left|w^{2}-1\right|<1\right\}$ is the right-half of the lemniscate of Bernoulli, $q(\mathbb{D})$ is a convex set and hence $q$ is a convex function. This shows that the function $z q^{\prime}(z)$ is starlike with respect to 0 . By Lemma 1.1, it follows that the subordination

$$
1+\beta z p^{\prime}(z) \prec 1+\beta z q^{\prime}(z)
$$

implies $p(z) \prec q(z)$. In light of this differential chain, the result is proved if it could be shown that

$$
q(z)=\sqrt{1+z} \prec 1+\beta z q^{\prime}(z)=1+\frac{\beta z}{2 \sqrt{1+z}}=: h(z)
$$

Since $q^{-1}(w)=w^{2}-1$, it follows that

$$
q^{-1}(h(z))=\left(2+\frac{\beta z}{2 \sqrt{1+z}}\right) \frac{\beta z}{2 \sqrt{1+z}} .
$$

For $z=e^{i t}, t \in[-\pi, \pi]$, clearly

$$
\left|q^{-1}(h(z))\right|=\left|q^{-1}\left(h\left(e^{i t}\right)\right)\right|=\frac{\beta}{2 \sqrt{2 \cos \frac{t}{2}}}\left|2+\frac{\beta e^{i \frac{3 t}{4}}}{2 \sqrt{2 \cos \frac{t}{2}}}\right|
$$

A calculation shows that the minimum of the above expression is attained at $t=0$. Hence

$$
\left|q^{-1}\left(h\left(e^{i t}\right)\right)\right| \geq \frac{\beta}{2 \sqrt{2}}\left(2+\frac{\beta}{2 \sqrt{2}}\right)=\left(1+\frac{\beta}{2 \sqrt{2}}\right)^{2}-1 \geq 1
$$

provided $\beta \geq 2 \sqrt{2}(\sqrt{2}-1)$. Hence $q^{-1}(h(\mathbb{D})) \supset \mathbb{D}$ or $h(\mathbb{D}) \supset q(\mathbb{D})$. This shows that $q(z) \prec h(z)$, and completes the proof.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\beta_{0}=2 \sqrt{2}(\sqrt{2}-1) \approx 1.17$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$.
(1) If $f$ satisfies the subordination

$$
1+\beta \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right) \prec \sqrt{1+z} \quad\left(\beta \geq \beta_{0}\right)
$$

then $f \in \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$.
(2) If $1+\beta z f^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z} \quad\left(\beta \geq \beta_{0}\right)$, then $f^{\prime}(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$.

Proof. Define the function $p: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$
p(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}
$$

Then $p$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and $p(0)=1$. A calculation shows that

$$
z p^{\prime}(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)
$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 to this function $p$ yields the first part of the theorem. The second part follows by taking $p(z)=f^{\prime}(z)$ in Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.3. Let $\beta_{0}=4(\sqrt{2}-1) \approx 1.65$. If

$$
1+\frac{\beta z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)} \prec \sqrt{1+z} \quad\left(\beta \geq \beta_{0}\right)
$$

then

$$
p(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z} .
$$

The lower bound $\beta_{0}$ is best possible.
Proof. Let $q$ be the convex function given by $q(z)=\sqrt{1+z}$, and consider the subordination

$$
1+\frac{\beta z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)} \prec 1+\frac{\beta z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}
$$

A calculation shows that

$$
\frac{\beta z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}=\frac{\beta z}{2(1+z)}
$$

is convex in $\mathbb{D}$ (and hence starlike). Thus, in view of Lemma 1.1, it follows that $p(z) \prec q(z)$. To complete the proof, it is left to show that

$$
q(z)=\sqrt{1+z} \prec 1+\frac{\beta z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}=1+\frac{\beta z}{2(1+z)}=: h(z) .
$$

Since $h(\mathbb{D})=\{w:$ Rew $<1+\beta / 4\}$, and $q(\mathbb{D})=\left\{w:\left|w^{2}-1\right|<1\right\} \subset\{w:$ Rew $<\sqrt{2}\}$, it follows that $q(\mathbb{D}) \subset h(\mathbb{D})$ if $\sqrt{2} \leq 1+\beta / 4$. Thus $q(z) \prec h(z)$ for $\beta \geq 4(\sqrt{2}-1)$, and this completes the proof.

Theorem 2.4. Let $\beta_{0}=4(\sqrt{2}-1) \approx 1.65$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$.
(1) If $f$ satisfies

$$
1+\beta\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right) \prec \sqrt{1+z} \quad\left(\beta \geq \beta_{0}\right)
$$

then $f \in \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$.
(2) If $f$ satisfies

$$
1+\beta\left(\frac{(z f(z))^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right) \prec \sqrt{1+z} \quad\left(\beta \geq \beta_{0}\right)
$$

then

$$
\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{f^{2}(z)} \prec \sqrt{1+z}
$$

Proof. The results follows from Lemma 2.3 by taking $p(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}$ and $p(z)=\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{f^{2}(z)}$ respectively.

Lemma 2.5. Let $\beta_{0}=4 \sqrt{2}(\sqrt{2}-1) \approx 2.34$. If

$$
1+\frac{\beta z p^{\prime}(z)}{p^{2}(z)} \prec \sqrt{1+z} \quad\left(\beta \geq \beta_{0}\right)
$$

then

$$
p(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z} .
$$

The lower bound $\beta_{0}$ is best possible.
Proof. With $q$ being the convex function $q(z)=\sqrt{1+z}$, consider the function $Q$ defined by

$$
Q(z):=\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q^{2}(z)}=\frac{z}{2(1+z)^{\frac{3}{2}}}
$$

Since

$$
\operatorname{Re} \frac{1+(1-2 \alpha) z}{1-z}>\alpha \quad(0 \leq \alpha<1)
$$

it follows that

$$
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z Q^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}=\operatorname{Re} \frac{2-z}{2(1+z)}>\frac{1}{4}>0
$$

Thus the function $Q$ is starlike and Lemma 1.1 shows that the subordination

$$
1+\frac{\beta z p^{\prime}(z)}{p^{2}(z)} \prec 1+\frac{\beta z q^{\prime}(z)}{q^{2}(z)}
$$

implies $p(z) \prec q(z)$. We next show that

$$
q(z)=\sqrt{1+z} \prec 1+\frac{\beta z q^{\prime}(z)}{q^{2}(z)}=1+\frac{\beta z}{2(1+z)^{\frac{3}{2}}}=: h(z) .
$$

Since $q^{-1}(w)=w^{2}-1$, then

$$
q^{-1}(h(z))=\left(2+\frac{\beta z}{2(1+z)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) \frac{\beta z}{2(1+z)^{\frac{3}{2}}} .
$$

Thus with $z=e^{i t}, t \in[-\pi, \pi]$, yields

$$
\left|q^{-1}(h(z))\right|=\left|q^{-1}\left(h\left(e^{i t}\right)\right)\right|=\frac{\beta}{2\left(2 \cos \frac{t}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left|2+\frac{\beta e^{i \frac{t}{4}}}{2\left(2 \cos \frac{t}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right|
$$

A computation shows that the minimum of the above expression is attained at $t=0$. Hence

$$
\left|q^{-1}\left(h\left(e^{i t}\right)\right)\right| \geq \frac{\beta}{4 \sqrt{2}}\left(2+\frac{\beta}{4 \sqrt{2}}\right)=\left(1+\frac{\beta}{4 \sqrt{2}}\right)^{2}-1 \geq 1
$$

for $\beta \geq 4 \sqrt{2}(\sqrt{2}-1)$. Hence $q(z) \prec h(z)$.
By taking $p(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}$ in Lemma 2.5, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6. Let $\beta_{0}=4 \sqrt{2}(\sqrt{2}-1) \approx 2.34$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $f \in \mathcal{S L}$ if

$$
1-\beta+\beta \frac{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}}{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}} \prec \sqrt{1+z} \quad\left(\beta \geq \beta_{0}\right) .
$$

Lemma 2.7. Let $0<\alpha \leq 1$. If $p \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$
(1-\alpha) p(z)+\alpha p^{2}(z)+\alpha z p^{\prime}(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z},
$$

then $p(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$.
Proof. Define the function $q$ by $q(z)=\sqrt{1+z}$. We first show that $p(z) \prec q(z)$ if $p$ satisfies

$$
(1-\alpha) p(z)+\alpha p^{2}(z)+\alpha z p^{\prime}(z) \prec(1-\alpha) q(z)+\alpha q^{2}(z)+\alpha z q^{\prime}(z) .
$$

For this purpose, let the functions $\vartheta$ and $\varphi$ be defined by $\vartheta(w):=(1-\alpha) w+\alpha w^{2}$ and $\varphi(w):=\alpha$. Clearly the functions $\vartheta$ and $\varphi$ are analytic in $\mathbb{C}$ and $\varphi(w) \neq 0$. Also let $Q$ and $h$ be the functions defined by

$$
Q(z):=z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z))=\alpha z q^{\prime}(z)
$$

and

$$
h(z):=\vartheta(q(z))+Q(z)=(1-\alpha) q(z)+\alpha q^{2}(z)+\alpha z q^{\prime}(z) .
$$

Since $q$ is convex, the function $z q^{\prime}(z)$ is starlike, and therefore $Q$ is starlike univalent in $\mathbb{D}$. In view of the fact that $\operatorname{Req}(z)>0$, it follows that

$$
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z h^{\prime}(z)}{Q(z)}=\frac{1}{\alpha} \operatorname{Re}\left[(1-\alpha)+2 \alpha q(z)+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right]>0 \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

for $0<\alpha \leq 1$. By Lemma 1.2, it follows that $p \prec q=\sqrt{1+z}$. To complete the proof, we seek conditions on $\alpha$ so that $q(z) \prec h(z)$, or equivalently $\left|\left[h\left(e^{i t}\right)\right]^{2}-1\right| \geq 1$ for all $t \in[-\pi, \pi]$. Now

$$
h(z)=\frac{\alpha z+2(1-\alpha)(1+z)+2 \alpha(1+z)^{3 / 2}}{2 \sqrt{1+z}},
$$

and a calculation shows that $\left|\left[h\left(e^{i t}\right)\right]^{2}-1\right|$ attains its minimum at $t=0$. Thus $\left|\left[h\left(e^{i t}\right)\right]^{2}-1\right| \geq\left|(h(1))^{2}-1\right|>1$ if $h(1)=\frac{8-3 \sqrt{2}}{4} \alpha+\sqrt{2}>\sqrt{2}$ and this holds for $\alpha>0$. Hence we conclude that $(1-\alpha) p(z)+\alpha p^{2}(z)+\alpha z p^{\prime}(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$ implies $p(z) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$.

Theorem 2.8. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(1+\alpha \frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \prec \sqrt{1+z} \quad(0<\alpha \leq 1)
$$

then $\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec \sqrt{1+z}$, or equivalently $f \in \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$.

Proof. With $p(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}$, a computation shows that

$$
p(z)+\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}=1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}
$$

Evidently

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\left(1+\alpha \frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha \frac{z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f(z)}=(1-\alpha) p(z)+\alpha p^{2}(z)+\alpha z p^{\prime}(z)
$$

The result now follows from Lemma 2.7.
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